

KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA (An AUTONOMOUS INSITUTE number Kakatiya University, Warangal, కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం. మందల్ – ఆంక్షంకి 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22.04.9017

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	/
Teacher	1
Employer	-
Parent	-
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details.	A 1 e41 1 ag a 1 d
Name	B. Ankith Lumar
Department	<i>ए</i> टि
Academic Year	2016-17
Semester	VII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

•	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	
Excellent	

	Poor		4	
	Fair			
	Average			•
	Good		<i>.</i> `	
	Excellent		, h	
D. Depth o	of the course content			
•			1	
	Poor	-	1	
	Fair		1.0	
	Average		\	•
	Good	· ·	-	
	Excellent			
•		-		
E. Extent	of the coverage of course			
		Τ	1	
	Poor		1	
	Fair	<u> </u>	1	
	Average		·	
	Good	-	-	
	Excellent		J	
			,	
F. Syllabu	s is sufficient to make you analyse	the enginee	ering problems	and its suitable
solution				
5014401			_	
	Poor		_	,
	Fair		, ^	
	Average			
	Good	~		
	Excellent			
	LACCHETT		-	•
C Polovone	ce for implementation in projects			•
G. Relevant	ce for mipremientation == 130)			
	Poor			
		<u> </u>	1.	
	Fair		}·	

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Average Good Excellent

Poor Fair

Average Good Excellent

Overall rating

_		
	Poor	
	Fair	
	Average	
	Good	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Excellent	

Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision) i. Hore laboratory courses are to be implemented.

a. Smulatory courses are to be available from swondyear.

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం. కరందర్ – 408 089.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22.04 2017

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

rieuse give jouwing ucums.	
Name	B. Vambi krishna.
Department	EFE
Academic Year	2016-2017
Semester	Via.

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

	AP
Poor	8
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C.	Applicability/	relevance (to	real	life	situations

Poor	,
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average Good	$\overline{}$
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor		
Fair		
Average		
Good		
Excellent	,	/

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	,	,	′	
Fair				
Average	,			
Good				
Excellent				

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	k.
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22.04.2017

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	V
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Sandees
Department	EEE
Academic Year	2013-17
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	•
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Page 1 of 3

C.	Applicability/	relevance to real	life situations
	,,	- To rear	mc bituution

Poor		
Fair	•	
Average		
Good		
Excellent		

Poor	
Fair	-
Average	
Good	1
Excellent	
Ехсепен	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

	7
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	·

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor		The second secon
Fair	Committee of the Commit	
Average		
Good		/
Excellent		

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18-04-2016

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	√ .
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details:	M. Harish
Name	FEE
Department	2015 - 16
Academic Year	VIII
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	·
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	
Strong-	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Page 1 of 3

	•		
C	Applicability/	relevance to real lif	fe situations
~	Tippicus III,		

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	•
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

	-	•
	Poor	
	Fair	
	Average	
_	Good	
	Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor-	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Overall rating

_		
	Poor	
	Fair	
Γ	Average	
Ī	Good	
-	Excellent	

Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

M. Harish. Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

18-04.2016 Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	\neg	/
Teacher		
Employer		
Parent		
Alumni		
Other (Please specify)		

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details	:
Name	tr Hamishanbox
Department	ÆKK
Academic Year	2015-16
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

	-	
_	Strongly Agree	/
	Agree	
	Neutral	
	Not Agree	
	Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

\boldsymbol{C}	A 1:1:		-	
C.	Applicability/	relevance to	real li	fe situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	V

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Average Good	1/
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Door	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor			
Fair	٠.	, •,	
Average			
Good			
Excellent			

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	<i></i>
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Hore placements are required. Core companies are to be brought for placements. Cooching for hisher education is to be given. dibrory is to be bept mandatory for all.

k.Many' Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KARATIVA II PATIFULLI ON THE ATHEORY OF GARAGES CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE STAT

PEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18,04. 2016

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Student
Teacher
Employer
Parent
Alumni
Other (Please specify)

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	V. SAI NIKHIL
Department	EEE
Academic Year	2012 - 2016
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

	ASSESSMENT AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T
Door	,
Poor	
	Special Control of the
Fair	
NAME OF THE PARTY	The second secon
Average	
2000年100日 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1	The second secon
Good	
	the same particular and order as a second state of the same of
Excellent	
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The state of the s

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	. /
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor		
Fair		
Average	1	
Good	9 -	
Excellent		

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

[D	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Fran students in pursuing Masters degree En Abroad.

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.